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RESUMO
Este trabalho discute o problema da definição formal das operações em sistemas de informação geográfica. Os dados geográficos são divididos em duas classes: geo-objetos e geo-campos, o que reflete a natureza dual (discreto-continuo) das representações da realidade geográfica. Estudam-se as operações sobre campos, geo-objetos e as transformações entre geo-campos e geo-objetos. A análise deste trabalho serve de base para LEGAL, uma linguagem espacial utilizada no sistema SPRING, desenvolvido pelo INPE com apoio da IBM Brasil e da EMBRAPA.

ABSTRACT
This paper addresses the problem of formal definition of the operations on geographical information systems (GIS). Geographical data is divided in two main classes: geo-objects and geo-fields, which portray discrete and continuous representations of reality. We study the operations over geo-fields, geo-objects, and the transformations between geo-fields and geo-objects. This analysis has been used as the basis for LEGAL, a general spatial language, which is used in the SPRING GIS, developed by INPE, with support from IBM Brazil and EMBRAPA.

1. Introduction
This work discusses the nature of the operations performed on geographical information systems (GIS), based on a formal model of the various types of geographical data. The algebras proposed here are able to perform various classes of spatial analysis, including relatively complex ones.

Since the GIS industry has matured to a point where questions of data structure, algorithms and functionality are becoming standardised, data modelling is seen as playing a critical rôle in determining the usability and adequacy of a system [Good92]. This concern has led to a number of conceptual formulations for geographical data models, and to a growing interest in the formal definition of geographical operations.

This paper is part of the conceptual work behind the implementation of SPRING, a geographical information system which integrates the different classes and representations of geographical data. For a description of SPRING, see

---

1 Revised version.
[CSFG96]. The algebra described herein is being used as the basis for defining LEGAL, a general purpose query and manipulation language used in the second version of SPRING.

2 Previous Work

Although the duality between fields and objects as representations of geographical reality on a GIS is well-established in the literature, there are very few attempts at providing a unified perspective of geographical operations.

Research on geographical algebra operators has been traditionally divided into two main branches: manipulation function on maps and query and presentation operations on objects. Tomlin [Tom90] presents a set of operations on map (most oriented towards the raster representation) called “map algebra”. Egenhofer [Ege90; Ege94] discusses the problems of designing a query and presentation language for geographical data (dealing mostly with the vector representation of geographical data).

In this work, we discuss the definition of algebras of geographical objects, geographical fields, and the transformations between geographical fields and geographical objects.

3 An Object-Oriented Model for Geographical Data

3.1 Model Definition Framework

In defining our object-oriented model, we shall follow the class-based framework of [Bee89]: an object is an instance of a class and is characterised by its state, or set of attribute values, and behaviour, or set of operations or methods that can be applied to the object. An object \( o \) can be constructed out of other objects \( o_1, ..., o_n \), in which case \( o \) is called complex and \( o_1, ..., o_n \) are called the components of \( o \). If an object is not complex, then it is called simple. Classes can be structured into hierarchies; the ancestors of a class \( C \) in the hierarchy are called the superclasses of \( C \).

Our model enables modelling the real world as a collection of object-oriented classes, divided into conventional classes and geographical classes (or geo-classes). The geo-classes model geographical fields and objects, whereas the conventional classes correspond to classes whose instances are non-spatial objects.

The same real-world entity might be modelled as a part of geo-class or as part of a standard class, depending on the situation. For example, a line transformer in an electrical network, when stocked in a warehouse, may be modelled as an instance of a non-spatial class, with descriptive attributes such as weight and capacity. The same transformer, when installed in a network location, shall be considered as an instance of a geo-class. As traditional data modelling is extensively dealt with in data base literature, we shall from now on concentrate on the geographical classes.

We shall also consider that each geographical class of objects has both locational and conventional attributes. The locational attributes and associated properties are described below, and the conventional attributes are assumed to be derived from an universe \( U \) of descriptive attributes \( \{A_1, ..., A_n\} \), defined on domains \( D(A_1), ..., D(A_n) \).

3.2 Basic Model Hierarchy

Geographical Region

**Definition 1 (Geographical Region).**

A set of points \( R \) which is a subset of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) is called a geographical region.

Although this definition is independent of scale and projection considerations, it will be sufficient for our model.
**Geo-Fields**

A geographical field or geo-field represents a continuous geographical variable over some region of the Earth.

---

**Definition 2 (Geographical Field).**

Let $R$ be a geographical region. A **geo-field** $f$ is an object $a_1,...,a_n, \lambda_i$, where $a_i \in D(A_i)$ and $\lambda_i: R \rightarrow V$ defines a mapping between points in $R$ and values on a domain $V$.

---

The geographical fields can be specialised. Depending on the range of the variable, we define the following subclasses of **GEO-FIELD**:

- **THEMATICAL** - an instance of this class, called a thematical geo-field, defines a mapping $\lambda: R \rightarrow V$ such that $V$ is a finite denumerable set. The elements of $V$ are called geo-classes and, intuitively, define the themes of a thematical map.

- **NUMERICAL** - an instance of this class, called a digital terrain model or simply a DTM, defines a mapping $\lambda: R \rightarrow V$ such that $V$ is the set of real values.

- **REMOTESENSINGDATA** - a specialization of the NUMERICAL class, whose instances have a range $V$ which is a set of discrete values obtained by quantization of the response of the earth’s surface to incident radiation, obtained by an active or passive sensor. This class is particularly useful to integrate remote sensing images into a GIS.

Figure 1 shows an field where the $R$ is the region of Manaus and the mapping $\lambda$ associates to each element of $R$ “its reflectance to the solar radiation on the LANDSAT TM sensor, spectral band 4”.

---

Figure 1 - Landsat image over Manaus

Geo-fields can be represented in a GIS in various formats. These representations reflect GIS system design decisions. We will not discuss the issue in detail here, but note that digital terrain models can be represented by regular grids or triangular grids, thematic maps can be represented by a topologically-structured set of vectors or by a symbolic array (raster representation), and images are usually represented by an array of values (raster representation).

The advantages and disadvantages of each storage option have been discussed extensively in the literature. Most studies have come to the conclusion that raster and vector (as well as regular and triangular grid) representations are useful alternatives, and a general GIS should provide both.
Geo-objects

Geo-objects represent individualizable entities of the geographic realm. They are phenomena that may have one or more graphical representations, which correspond to the geo-referenced set of coordinates that describe the object’s location.

Definition 3 (Geo-object).

Given a set of geographical regions \( R_1, ..., R_n \), a geo-object \( go \) is an object \( [a_1, ..., a_n, geo_1, ..., geo_n] \), composed by the values \( a_i \in D(A_i) \) and by a set of geographical locations \( geo_i \) (where \( geo_i \subseteq R_i \)). We shall indicate the \( i \)-th attribute of \( go \) by \( go.A_i \) and the \( i \)-th geographical location of \( go \) by \( go.R_i \).

In other words, an object is a unique element that can be represented in one or more points in space, and which has various descriptive attributes. This definition allows for multiple geometrical representations to be assigned to the same geo-object.

Geo-objects are usually associated to a vector representation (points-lines-area). Figure 2 shows a geometrical representation of geo-object “Italy”, shown in connection of the representations of other countries in Europe.

![Figure 2 - Example of a geo-object](image)

Geo-object Maps

In a GIS, each geographical object is associated to one or more geographical locations. Since most applications do not deal with isolated elements in space, it is convenient to store the graphical representation of geo-objects together with its neighbours. For example, the parcels of the same city borough are stored and analysed together.

These features lead us to introduce the concept of geo-object maps, which group together geo-objects for a given cartographic projection and geographical region.

Definition 4 (Geo-object Map).

Let \( R \) be a geographical region. A geo-object map \( mo \) is an object \( [R, GO, geo] \) such that \( GO \) is a set of geo-objects and \( geo \) is a mapping \( GO \rightarrow R \), which assigns, for each geo-object \( go \in GO \), a location \( geo(go) \) in \( R \).

Therefore, the representations for geo-objects are maintained in instances of the class OBJECT-MAP. In practice, the mapping \( geo(go) \) can be interpreted as the link between an description of a geographical object and its spatial location on a geographical region. This definition allows for multi-scale, multi-tile and multi-temporal representations to be associated to the same geo-object. This situations is typical of large geographical data bases, which include maps in different scales and projections, and over several UTM zones.

To illustrate the concept, consider figure 3, which illustrates a data base for rivers of the Brazilian Amazonia. Since the region covers a very large area, a geographical data base in the 1:250000 scale (on UTM projections) will consist of several non-overlapping tiles. We associate each UTM partition to an instance of the class OBJECT-MAP which includes a
mapping for all rivers which are included in the geographical area of the partition. Therefore, the Amazon river is seen by the database as a single object, even though its representation may span several maps.

![Figure 3 - Geo-Objects and Object Maps.](image)

### 3.3 Operations on Geographical Data

There are three main types of geographical algebras, discussed in section 4:

- **Geo-objects algebra**: selection and query of geo-objects, based on descriptive and spatial properties.
- **Fields algebra**: manipulation of fields.
- **Combined operations**: generation of geo-object maps from fields, and generation of fields from geo-objects.
4 Algebra of Geo-Fields

We discuss the following types of operators for the algebra of geo-fields: point, neighbourhood and zonal.

Point Operators

A point operator produces a new geo-field, whose value in each point \( p \) depends only of the values in \( p \) in the input geo-fields. A point operations is specified as a mapping between the ranges of the input and output fields.

Definition 5. Point operations on fields.

Let \( R \) be a geographical region, \( V_1, V_2, \ldots V_{n+1} \) sets which define possible ranges for geo-fields, and \( F_i (i=1,\ldots,n) \) be the class of all geo-fields which have \( R \) as a location and \( V_i \) as its range.

The point operation \( \Pi: F_1 \times F_2 \rightarrow F_{n+1} \) induces a function \( \pi \) such that, for every geo-field \( f_i \in F_i (i=1,\ldots,n) \):

\[
  f_{n+1}(p) = \pi (f_1(p), \ldots, f_n(p)) \quad \forall \ p \in R.
\]

where the spatial values of the output geo-field \( f_{n+1} \in F_{n+1} \) are defined by the mapping \( \lambda_{n+1}: M \rightarrow V_{n+1} \).

Point operators include transformation operators, mathematical functions, boolean operations, comparison operators and functions such as finding extremes and averages. The value of the output field at each location is a function only of the input values at the corresponding location. Depending on the ranges of the input and output fields, there are different possibilities for \( \Pi \):

- **unary operators**, such as weighting (a mapping from a thematical geo-field into a numerical geo-field), slicing (transformation from numerical into thematical) and reclassification (mapping a thematical geo-field into another).

- **boolean and comparison operators** can be applied to all types of geographical fields. When the resulting map is a thematic map, it is usually necessary to specify a set of conditions that have to be satisfied for each output class. An example: “calculate a soil aptitude map based on climate, soil, and slope maps, where the conditions are such that a soil is deemed “good for agriculture” if it rains more that 1000 m/year and the soil has a ph between 6.5 and 7.5, and the slope is less than 15%”.  

- **mathematical operators**, such as arithmetic and trigonometric functions, can be applied to DTMs and (with restrictions on the output range) to IMAGES. An example would be: “calculate a soil loss equation, given by: \((\text{slope map})^{0.25} \times (\text{soil ph})^3\)”.  

Figure 4 shows an example of the “weighting” operation (the conversion of a soils map into a weighted soils map). In this case, \( V_1 = \{ \text{Le}, \text{Li}, \text{Ls}, \text{Aq} \} \), \( V_2=[0.0,1.0] \) and \( \pi \) is the set of ordered pairs \( \{(\text{Le}\rightarrow0.60), (\text{Li}\rightarrow0.20), (\text{Ls}\rightarrow0.35), (\text{Aq}\rightarrow0.10)\} \).

![Figure 4 - Example of the “weighting” operation.](image-url)
Neighbourhood operators

In this class of operators, the output field is computed based on the values of a continuously-varying surface in the neighbourhood of each location of the input field. To that end, we need to provide a definition for the neighbourhood in a geographical region.

Definition 6. Neighbourhood in a geographical region

Given a geographical region \( R \), a set of \( P \subseteq R \) is said to be connected iff, for any two points \( p_1, p_2 \in P \) there is a line connecting these two points which is entirely contained in \( R \). A neighbourhood in \( R \) is a mapping \( N: R \rightarrow 2^R \), such that \( \forall p \in R, p \in N(p) \) and \( N(p) \) is connected.

Definition 7. Neighbourhood operations on geo-fields.

Let \( R \) be a geographical region and \( F_0 \subseteq F_1 \) the sets of geo-fields which are defined over \( R \) and whose range is \( V_i, i = 0, 1 \). Let \( N: R \rightarrow 2^R \) e \( \nu: 2^{V_1} \rightarrow V_0 \). The neighbourhood operation \( \Psi: F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \) induced by \( \nu \) is such that:

\[
\forall f_1 \in F_1, \quad \Psi(f_1) = f_0 \iff f_0(p) = \nu(\{\lambda_1(x) \mid x \in N(p)\}), \forall p \in R.
\]

An example of this operation would be: “calculate the slope of an elevation map, based on the local derivatives at each location.”

Zonal operations

This is a special class of neighbourhood operators, where one geo-field (usually a thematic map) is used as a spatial restriction on the operators on another geo-field (usually a DTM).

Definition 8. Zonal operations on geo-fields.

The zonal operation \( Z \) on a numerical geo-field \( f_i \), defined by \( \lambda_i: R \rightarrow V_i \) (where \( V_i \) is the set of reals), and a thematic geo-field \( f_2 \), defined by \( \lambda_2: R \rightarrow V_2 \) (where \( V_2 \) is a discrete set \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \)), and a local function \( \nu \) is such that:

\[
Z(f_i) = f_{new} \mid \lambda_{new}(p) = \nu(\lambda_i(x), x \in L(p)) \text{ and the zonal region } L(p) \text{ satisfies }
\]

\[
\forall p \in R, \exists L(p) \subseteq R \wedge p \in L(p), \text{ such that } f_2(x) = v_1 \mid \forall x \in L(p).
\]

An example of zonal operations would be: “Given an slope map and a soils map, find the average slope for each soil area on the map.”

Figure 6 - Example of a zonal operation.
5 Geo-Objects Algebra

5.1 Spatial Relationships

In our model, we shall represent geo-objects as 2D geometries (points, lines and regions). As the operations of the geo-objects algebra may involve spatial restrictions, it is important to define spatial relationships, which may be divided in:

- **topological relationships**, such as “inside” and “adjacent to”, which are invariant to rotation, translation and scaling transformations. A formalization of this type of relationships has been proposed by Clementini et al. [CFO93], based on earlier work by Egenhofer [Ege90];

- **directional relationships**, such as “above” and “beside”. There are many informal proposals but little formal work for this class of operations;

- **metrical relationships**, derived from the distance operations.

In our work, we shall consider only topological and metrical relationships on $\mathbb{R}^2$, based on the following definitions:

- An **area** $A$ is a 2D set of points of dimension 2, whose interior $A^o$ is connected (with no holes) and which has a connected frontier $\partial A$.

- A **line** $L$ is a set of connected points of dimension 1, whose frontier $\partial L$ is the first and the last point or an empty set in the case of a circular line (an “island”), and its interior $L^o$ is the set of the other points.

- A **point** $P$ is a set of dimension 0, whose interior $P^o$ is the point itself and whose frontier $\partial P$ is empty.

To analyse the topological relationships on $\mathbb{R}^2$, Egenhofer [Ege90] has proposed the use of the 4-intersection matrix, which represents the relations between the interior and the frontiers of two point sets $A$ and $B$:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\partial A \cap \partial B & \partial A \cap B^o \\
A^o \cap \partial B & A^o \cap B^o
\end{bmatrix}$$

The 4-intersection matrix is not sufficient to uniquely identify all possible situations in the case of relationships between lines and areas and lines and lines. Therefore, Clementini et al. [CFO93] have proposed to consider the dimension of the intersection between the two sets and have found a minimal set of five relationships (**touch**, **in**, **cross**, **overlap** and **disjoint**) which are applicable to all cases. The formal definitions of these relationships is given below.

The **touch** relationship is applicable to area-area, line-area, point-area and point-line situations. A set of points $S_1$ touches another set $S_2$ when they have points in common, but their interiors do not:

$$S_1 \text{ touch } S_2 \Leftrightarrow (S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset) \land (S_1^o \cap S_2^o = \emptyset)$$

The **in** relationship is applicable to area-area, line-area, point-area and point-line situations. A set of points is in another when their intersection is the first set:

$$S_1 \text{ in } S_2 \Leftrightarrow S_1 \cap S_2 = S_1.$$  

The **cross** relationship is applicable in the case of line-line and line-area situations. A line $L$ crosses an area $A$ when their interiors meet and the intersection of the two sets is not the line itself; two lines cross when their interiors have a non-empty intersection and this intersection is a set of points of dimension 0:

$$L \text{ cross } A \Leftrightarrow (L^o \cap A^o \neq \emptyset) \land ((L \cap A) \neq L).$$

$$L_1 \cap L_2 \Leftrightarrow (L_1^o \cap L_2^o \neq \emptyset) \land (\dim (L_1 \cap L_2) = 0).$$

The **overlap** relationship is applicable to area-area, line-line and point-point situations. Two point sets $S_1$ and $S_2$ overlap when their intersection is different from them, but forms a set of points of the same dimension:
\[ S_1 \text{ overlap } S_2 \Leftrightarrow (S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset) \land (S_1 \cap S_2 \neq S_2) \land (\dim(S_1 \cap S_2) = \dim(S_1)) \].

These situations are illustrated in Figure 7. For a proof of these definitions, please refer to [Cam95].

![Figure 7 - Examples of topological relationships.](image-url)

### 5.2 Operations

In order to define the spatial operations over geo-objects, we need to establish the notion of a **computable spatial predicate**.

**Definition 9. Computable Spatial Predicate.**

Let \( R \) be a geographical region, and \( GO \) a set of geo-objects which have representations in \( R \), defined by an object map \( om = [R, GO, \text{geo}] \).

A **computable spatial predicate** \( \xi \) is a spatial restriction, defined by a topological relationship (inside, touch, cross, overlap e disjoint) or a metrical relationship, which can be computed over the representations \( \text{geo}(go) \) of the geo-objects \( go \in GO \).

#### Spatial selection

**Definition 10. Spatial selection**

Let \( R \) be a geographical region, \( GO \) a set of geo-objects and \( mo \) an object-map \( mo = [R, GO, \text{geo}] \) which contains the spatial location of the geo-objects \( go \in GO \) in \( R \).

The **spatial selection** operation \( \varphi : GO \rightarrow GO \), given a spatial predicate \( \xi \) which relates the geo-objects \( go \in GO \) to a geo-object \( go^* \) which is represented in \( mo \) by a mapping \( \text{geo}(go^*) \):

\[
\varphi_\xi(GO) = \{ go \in GO \mid \xi(\text{geo}(go)) \}.
\]
The output of such operation is a subset of the original set, composed of all geo-objects that satisfy the geometrical predicate, as the example illustrate:

- “select all regions of France which are adjacent to the Midi-Pyrinees regions (which contains the city of Toulouse”).

![Figure 8 - Example of a spatial selection operation.](image)

**Spatial Join**

**Definition 11. Spatial Join**

Let $R$ be a geographical region, $GO_1$ and $GO_2$ two sets of geo-objects and $mo_1$ and $mo_2$ object-maps $mo_i = \{R, GO_i, geo_i\}$ which contain, respectively, the spatial location of the geo-objects $go_1 \in GO_1$ and $go_2 \in GO_2$ in $R$. Let $\xi$ be a spatial predicate computable for every pair of geographical locations $((geo_1(go_1), geo_2(go_2)))$.

The spatial join operation $\theta$: $GO_1 \times GO_2 \rightarrow GO_1 \times GO_2$ is such that:

$$
\theta_\xi (GO_1, GO_2) = \{ (go_1, go_2) \in (GO_1, GO_2) \mid \xi (geo_1(go_1), geo_2(go_2)) \}
$$

The spatial join is an operation where a comparison between two sets of geo-objects $GO_1$ and $GO_2$ takes place, based on a spatial predicate which is computed over the representation of these sets. The name “spatial join” is employed by analogy to the join operation in relational algebra. The result of the spatial join operation is a set of object-pairs, which satisfy the spatial restriction. Examples are:

- “Find all indian reservations located closer than 50 km to the main roads in Amazonia”.

- “Find all cities in the state of Ceara which are located close than 10 km from a water reservoir.”

In the first example, the answer is a set of pairs of geo-objects (reservation, road) and in the second a set of pairs (cities, reservoir).
6 Transformations between Geo-Fields and Geo-objects

Another set of operations for geographical data concerns the transformations that generate geo-fields from sets of geo-objects (and vice-versa). These transformation operations are of special importance, as they are the link between the two general classes of geographical data.

6.1 Generation of Geo-Objects from Geo-Fields

We shall consider one important instance of such operations, that of spatial interpolation.

Definition 12. Spatial Interpolation

Let \( R \) be a geographical region, \( V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n \) sets which define possible ranges for geo-fields, and \( F_i (i=1,\ldots,n) \) be the class of all geo-fields which have \( R \) as a location and \( V_i \) as its range. Let \( GO \) be a set of geo-objects and \( mo \) be an object-map \( mo=[R, GO, geo] \) which assigns geographical locations in \( R \) to the geo-objects in \( GO \).

The spatial interpolation operation \( \otimes: F_1 \times \ldots \times F_n \rightarrow GO \) is such that:

\[
\forall f_1 \in F_1, \ldots, f_n \in F_n,
\]

\[
\otimes(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n) = GO \iff \forall go \in GO, go = [ v_1, \ldots, v_m, a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_n, geo(go) ], \text{ and}
\]

\[
geo \ (go) = \{ p \in R \mid f_1(p) = v_1 \land \ldots \land f_n(p) = v_n \}. \]

This definition corresponds to the generation of an object map from the spatial intersection of a set of geo-fields. This situation occurs, for example, in zoning applications, when an overlay of thematic maps is performed to obtain homogeneous zones. When a cadastral map is created from an overlay of geo-fields, each resulting geo-object inherits all descriptive attributes from the original geo-fields. Consider the following example, as shown in figure 9: “Determine the homogeneous regions of Australia, as the intersection of the vegetation, geomorphology and soils maps”.

In the GIS literature, the spatial intersection operation is very often wrongly classified as “a special type of spatial join” [11]. Although there are similarities in graphical algorithms used to compute them, the spatial intersection operation is conceptually different from the boolean operations between geo-fields and from spatial join operations between geo-objects.

![Figure 9 - Spatial Interpolation Operation](image)

Figure 9 - Spatial Interpolation Operation
6.2 Generation of Geo-Fields from Geo-Objects

These operations take as input a set of geo-objects $GO$, represented in the geo-objects map $mo$ and generate as output a field $f_1$ defined on a map $M$ by a mapping $\lambda: M \rightarrow V$. We shall consider two operations, that of distance maps (buffer zones) and that of attribute reclassification.

Buffer zones

**Definition 13. Buffer zones operation.**

Let $R$ be a geographical region, $F$ a set of geo-fields defined over $R$ whose range is $\mathbb{R}^+$. Let $GO$ be a set of geo-objects, and $mo$ an object-map $mo = [R, GO, geo]$, which assigns geographical locations in $R$ to the geo-objects in $GO$.

The buffer zones operation $\Delta: GO \rightarrow G$ induced by $mo$ is such that, given a distance metric $dist$ computable in $mo$ and an object $go \in GO$:

$$\Delta_{mo}(go) = f \iff \forall p \in R, f(p) = dist(p, geo(go)).$$

Figure 10 shows the example of a buffer zone operation.

Attribute reclassification

**Definition 14. Attribute reclassification operation.**

Let $R$ be a geographical region, $GO$ be a set of geo-objects whose descriptive attributes are contained in $D(A_1) \times \ldots \times D(A_n)$, and $mo$ an object-map $mo = [R, GO, geo]$, which assigns geographical locations in $R$ to the geo-objects in $GO$.

Let $F$ a set of geo-fields defined over $R$ whose range is $D(A_i)$, where $A_i$ is the $i$-th descriptive attribute of $GO$.

The attribute reclassification operation $\Omega: GO \rightarrow F$ induced by $mo$ is such that:

$$\Omega_{mo}(GO) = f_0 \iff (\forall go \in GO, f_0(geo(go)) = go.A_i).$$

From the values of a specific descriptive attribute of a set of geo-objects, a new geo-field is created, whose mapping is defined by the spatial distribution of the chosen attribute. This is illustrated in Figure 11, which shows the operation:

- “For all countries in South America, generate a thematic map with the population growth of each country, divided in classes: [ (from 0 to 2% per year), (from 2 to 3%), (more than 3%) ].”
7 The LEGAL language

The analysis of the algebras of GIS operations serves as a basis for the definition of a language for query and manipulation of spatial data, called LEGAL (in Portuguese, “Linguagem Espaço-Geográfica baseada em Álgebra” - Spatial Algebra Language).

The main features of LEGAL are:

- The operations of geo-objects algebra are implemented using extensions of the relational language SQL.
- The fields algebra and the combined field-object operations are implemented by statements which have the same semantic level as the SQL language.

LEGAL is strongly typed, and has the following basic types:

- THEMATIC, IMAGE, DTM, which are specialisations of geo-fields;
- OBJECT, for geo-objects;
- OBJECT MAPS, for geo-object maps;
- COLLECTIONS, for storing collections of geo-objects resulting from spatial join operations.

Further work by the authors ([Cam95] [CCF+96]) concentrates on the definition, implementation and use of LEGAL.
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